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Abstract

Objective: In this article, we intend to report the management and the outcome of the surgical treatment of an unusual case of impacted mandibular premolar.

Method: A 57-year-old man with an unremarkable medical history was referred by her general dentist for the management of his impacted mandibular left second premolar. The patient complained of an annoying feeling in correspondence of the lingual side of the left mandibular body.

Results: Surgical intervention of the impacted mandibular left second premolar was decided in agreement with the patient under local anesthesia. On the 10th day after intervention, the patient complained no pain, and he showed neither paresthesia nor anesthesia in the region of the chin. No sign of infection was observed. The patient was clinically monitored during the year after the surgery. Six months follow up postoperative panoramic radiograph confirmed a good healing.

Conclusion: When surgery is the chosen option, a great attention should be paid not to damage the inferior alveolar nerve. The indication for the removal should be carefully assessed in adult patients.
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Introduction

The impaction of mandibular premolars is a challenging complication in tooth eruption. The arrested eruption of the lower premolars can determine disturbances of mastication and aesthetics. Moreover, the frequent tight relationship between the impacted premolar and the inferior alveolar nerve make the management of this condition extremely difficult.

In this article, we report the management and the outcome of the surgical treatment of an unusual case of impacted mandibular premolar.

Case Report

A 57-year-old man with an unremarkable medical history was referred by her general dentist for the management of his impacted mandibular left second premolar. The patient complained of an annoying feeling in correspondence of the lingual side of the left mandibular body.

Clinical intraoral examination revealed the absence of the left second premolar in the mandibular arch and a hard bulge at the lingual side of the left mandibular body, between the roots of the first premolar and the first molar. A panoramic radiograph confirmed that the left mandibular second premolar was deeply impacted horizontally, with the root in correspondence of the inferior alveolar nerve figure 1.

Surgical intervention of the impacted mandibular left second premolar was decided in agreement with the patient. Orthodontic traction was not considered to be an option. Surgical intervention was performed under local anesthesia. Local nerve block anesthesia of the inferior dental, lingual, and buccal nerves was induced with 2 capsules of 1.8 mL of 2% mepivacaine containing 1:100,000 adrenaline (Carboplyina; Dentsply Italia, Roma, Italy). The left mandibular second premolar was extracted using a lingual full-thickness flap figure 2A. Osteotomy necessary to visualize the impacted premolar was performed using a no. 8 tungsten carbide round bur mounted on a high-speed handpiece figure 2B. Then, the premolar was luxated figure 3 and removed figures 4A and figure 4B.

Primary closure of the flap was performed using 3- silk suture. The patient was prescribed an antibiotic (1 g of amoxicillin every 12 h for 5 d [Zimox; Pfizer Italia, Milan, Italy]), a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (80 mg of ketoprofene every 12 h for 3 d [OKI granulare; Dompe’, L’Aquila, Italy]), corticosteroids (1 mg of betamethasone every 12 h for 3 d [Bentelan; Defianate Farmaceutica LDA, Rome, Italy]). Postoperative instructions and use of the prescribed drugs were explained orally and on a printed sheet of paper that was given to the patient. Beginning the day after surgery, patients rinsed twice daily with 0.20% chlorhexidine solution for 10 days. The sutures were removed 10 days later. On the 10th day after intervention, the patient complained no pain, and he showed neither paresthesia nor anesthesia in the region of the chin. No sign of infection was observed. The patient was clinically monitored during the year after the surgery. Six
months follow up postoperative panoramic radiograph confirmed a good healing figure 5.

Discussion

Removal of impacted teeth is among the most common of procedures performed in oral and maxillo-facial surgery. Even though the procedure is straightforward in the great majority of cases, it can still be plagued by serious complications such as inferior alveolar nerve IAN injury and mandibular fracture.1-5 Evidence indicates that depth of impac- tion, proximity of the tooth to the mandibular canal, surgical technique, intra-operative nerve exposure and the surgeon’s experience may be associated with an increased risk of IAN damage.

The management of impacted mandibular premolars in the adult is challenging and in asymptomatic patients, a close observation without surgery is advisable. The surgical removal of impated mandibular premolar can be decided in case of patients complaints or if it is needed because of rehabilitation reasons.1-3 Preoperative assessment of surgical difficulty is fundamental to correctly plan the extraction of the impacted premolar.

It is extremely important to assess the various elements that could influence the extraction, such as relative depth, angulation and form of the root, the relationship of the tooth to mandibular canal. 2-5

In determining the optimum treatment plan, it might be helpful to tabulate the advantages and disadvantages of each plan. The final choice depends on the weight that we and our patients assign to each factor under consideration.1-5

In the present case, we decided to perform a lingual approach to limit the bone removal that was, however, necessary.

Great attention was paid not to damage the inferior alveolar nerve. On the 10th day after intervention, the patient showed neither paresthesia nor anesthesia in the region of the chin. No intraoral dehiscence was present. No signs of infection were observed.

Conclusion

When surgery is the chosen option, a great attention should be paid not to damage the inferior alveolar nerve. The indication for the removal should be carefully assessed in adult patients. Unfortunately, because of the rarity of this clinical finding, it is difficult to propose clinical procedure protocols. Any single patient should be thoroughly evaluated and management should be individualized.
Figure 5 Six months follow up postoperative panoramic radiograph confirming a good healing
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