Apical microleakage of epoxy resin and methacrylate resin-based sealer with continuous wave obturation technique
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Abstract

Objective: The aim of this research was to determine the microleakage of apical sealer based on epoxy resin and methacrylate resin with continuous wave obturation technique.

Material and Methods: Thirty permanent lateral incisors were selected randomly and divided into 3 groups. The samples were decoronated, the root canal was prepared and then the samples were kept in incubator at 37°C for 72 hours. The sample were coated with nail varnish then immersed in methylene blue for 48 hours. The samples were washed with distilled water, dried and nail varnish was removed. The samples were cleaning and the penetration was measured using microscope and given score 0–4. Measurements were analyzed statistically using Kruskal–Wall test and Mann–Whitney test.

Results: There was no significant difference between the apical microleakage of the the epoxy resin–based root canal sealer with methacrylate resin–based root canal sealer (p>0.05). It means that the apical microleakage of the epoxy resin–based root canal sealer is comparable with methacrylate resin–based root canal sealer.

Conclusion: It is concluded that the apical microleakage of epoxy resin–based sealer does not differ from the methacrylate resin–based sealer.
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Introduction

Obturation of root canal is the last stage of root canal care. It aims to prevent the microorganism from re-infecting and to kill the remaining pathogenic bacteria in the root canal system. If obturation does not cover the whole root canal system well, the microorganism can enter, develop and may cause “Bacteremia Transient” which results to failure in the root canal care. One of the standard obturation materials used recently is gutta-percha, which does not adhere well on dentine. Therefore, a sealer is required to cover space between gutta-percha and root canal buttress.

Various sealer will be available in the market, such as sealer with zinc oxide eugenol materials, calcium hydroxide, Glass Ionomer Cement (GIC) and resin. Some researches clarify that recently, sealer with epoxy resin has a good radiopacity, low solubility, good adaptation toward the root canal buttress and good ability of apical covering. However, due to condition of imperfect polymerization if the sealer comes in contacts with tissue, it will cause acute inflammation.

Referring to the disadvantages of epoxy resin sealer, a new resin cement with methacrylate resin materials needs to be developed. One of the research outcomes indicated that methacrylate resin sealer has longer resin tag compared to that of epoxy resin as well as a hydrophilic characteristic so that it has a better adaptation on the root canal area with a good adaptability of tooth supporting tissue.

In addition, there are some techniques to perform the obturation of the root canal. The most commonly used obturation technique is the solid core technique. However, some researches clarified that this technique has some disadvantages. The weakness of this solid core technique can be covered by the softened core obturation technique. One of the softened core obturation techniques is the continuous wave technique. It has some advantages such as the homogeneous mass of gutta-percha, good adaptation in root canal buttress, therefore, it only requires a few sealer with the ability to fill the whole root canal system, the penetration to accessory and lateralis canal and diminish the working time.

According to the explanation, it is necessary that a research is conducted to understand what will occur if methacrylate resin and epoxy resin sealer are administered in continuous wave obturation technique. The objective of this research was to reach hermetic stage of obturation using resin sealer with continuous wave obturation technique, recognizing that one of failures of the root canal care is caused by the unhermetic obturation.
Material and Methods

All samples which have met the inclusion criteria and became appropriate with big estimation of sample with big estimation of sample that were decoronated until Cemento-enamel Junction (CEJ) area. Preparation of root canal was performed using crown–down pressureless technique with ProTaper rotary files (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballagigues, Switzerland) until the F4. Irrigation using NaOCl 2.5% and EDTA 17% was performed in each file substitution and aquadest was used as the last rinsing material.

After preparation the root canal was drained with paper point and the samples were divided into 3 groups each of which consisted of 10 teeth. Thirty teeth of permanent lateralis incisivus of upper jaw were chosen randomly. The first group was obturated with epoxy resin sealer (AH Plus, Dentsply Maillefer, Swiss), the second group was administered with methacrylate resin sealer material (EndoREZ, Ultradent Corp) and the third group as negative control was prepared but was not obturated. The negative control group was also not covered with nail polish. Obturation of F4 root canal involving the gutta-percha utilization used continuous wave technique, where the sealer in root canal was earlier prepared using lentulo pin. The thickness of filling was controlled through radiograph. After filling was appropriate and compact, the root canal was then covered with composite resin (3M ESPE, US).

After that, all samples were placed in specimen bottle and stored in incubator at 37°C for 3 × 24 hours. Then, all of the first and the second group sample were layered using nail polish to obtain two layers, left 2 mm from apex uncovered. Sample of negative control group was not layered by nail polish. All samples were then soaked in methylene blue for 2 × 24 hours at the room temperature. Then, the sample was taken out and washed with flowing water and the nail polish layer was separated with acetone then placed in specimen bottle at the room temperature for 1 × 24 hour. All samples were soaked in 10% of nitric acid for 3 × 24 hours, then soaked in 70%, 90% and 100% alcohol respectively for 30 minute. Clearing step was done by soaking the specimen in methyl salicylate.

The depth of methylene blue penetration was evaluated from apical to coronal position using light microscope, with the certainty score of 0 if there was no penetration, it was scored 1 if the methylene blue penetrates less than 0.5 mm, scored 2 if the methylene blue penetrates 0.5–1 mm, scored 2 if the methylene blue penetrates 1–2 mm, scored 2 if the methylene blue penetrates more than 2 mm.

Results

According to the observation of 30 roots of permanent incisivus lateralis teeth of upper jaw that have fulfilled the sample criteria, the ratio of apical microleakage from sealer of epoxy resin materials with methacrylate resin material, were the counted leakage score of 1/3 on profundity of methylene blue penetration from apical to coronal restructuring.

Table 1  Connection between kind of sealer resin with category of penetration profundity (apical microleakage)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Sealer</th>
<th>Result of Apical Microleakage Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Score 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy resin</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methacrylate resin</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* chi-square: p<0.05; significant

Table 2  Differences of apical microleakage profundity between obturation with sealer of basic epoxy resin, methacrylate resin and negative control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of sealer resin</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Apical Microleakage (mm)</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Epoxy resin</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.310 ± 0.247</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methacrylate resin</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>0.140 ± 0.069</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>10 (33.3)</td>
<td>2.000 ± 0.000</td>
<td>0.000**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>0.817 ± 0.865</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Shapiro–Wilk test: p<0.05; normal distribution  
** Kruskal–Wallis test: p<0.05; significant
Table 1 shows leakage of epoxy resin groups was bigger than sealer of methacrylate resin. Methylen blue penetration of all methacrylate resin group indicated a score of 1 (100%). Whereas, about 70% methylene blue penetrations of epoxy resin group indicated score 1 and 30% indicated score 2, there was no methylene blue penetration in score 3 and 4. While all of sample of negative control group indicated score 3. Table 2 and figure 1 shows the difference of apical microleakage profundity in all of sample. The research result showed that the average of group 3 of apical microleakage was the highest than the other. In addition, it was also observed that group 2 had the lowest apical microleakage than others, which had average value of 0.14 mm. Meanwhile, in group 1 the apical microleakage average value was 0.31 mm the research of Kruskal–Wallis indicated a p-value of 0.000, which means there was a significant difference about apical microleakage among group 1, group 2 and group 3 (p<0.05).

The result of the continued observation indicated that the difference between group 1 and group 3 as well as group 2 and group 3 were significant (p<0.05). However, if the group 1 was compared to the group 2, we will obtain that the difference of apical microleakage is 0.17 mm. However, statistical result indicates that it is not significant (p>0.05).

The post-hoc test results in table 3 shows that the difference between groups 1 and 3, as well as group 2 and 3 was significantly different (p<0.05). However, if the group 1 is compared to the group 2, we will obtained the difference in deviation of 0.17 mm of the apical microleakage. But the result of statistical tests showed that it is not significant (p>0.05).

Discussion

Apical microleakage is one of the initial causes of a root canal treatment failure, occurred due to inadequate obturation of root canal. Apical microleakage occurs on the surface of the sealer with dentin or with gutta-percha.\textsuperscript{14,15}

The results of this study showed that all the samples in the study group experienced apical microleakage. Apical microleakage is characterized by the penetration of methylene blue table 1 and figure 1. The percentages of apical microleakage can be observed by the score of group 1 (AH Plus), group 2 (EndoRez) and group 3 (negative control).

A total of 56.7% of the samples are in the score of 1, about 10% of the samples are in a score of 2 and about 33.3% of samples were in the score of 3. There was no sample which was observed in a score of 0. The percentage of samples were not observed in a score of 4 that passes through the penetration of more than 2 mm, due to the limits of the nail polish penetration before it is soaked in methylene blue is 2 mm to the apical.

Apical microleakage occurs on resin-based sealer of two study groups due to the natural properties of the sealer made from resin, that is the occurrence of shrinkage during polymerization. Shrinkage will cause the main contact between the filler and the root canal wall at first meeting which frays.\textsuperscript{4,5,16}

This is in line with the research conducted by Kumar and Shivani.\textsuperscript{17} Which is compared with the apical sealing ability and adaptability of the type of hydrophobic and hydrophilic resin sealer on the market. All resin sealer samples reveal poor adaptation to the dentin, as well as the penetration of dye more in the apical region compared coronal.\textsuperscript{17}

Shrinkage stress is a major problem in the attachment of the root canal resin sealer, and mainly occurs in the deep and narrow root canals. Shrinkage occurs faster when the proportion of sealer that does not bind to the free surface area is greater than that of the high one called C-factor. This condition will create residual stress or debonding and leakage. Further, nonbonded resin sealer will absorb the excessive amount of water that cause the disintegration of the sealer, so that the high C-factor is a major obstacle to eliminating gap from the sealer attachment.\textsuperscript{4,5,17}

There are several parameters that affects shrinkage stress: the degree of shrinkage polymerization volume of the resin sealer, elasticity modulus of dentin, root canal filling, air in sealer when stress occurs, as well as the expansion or contraction of root canal filling when heat is associated.\textsuperscript{3,5,18,19}

Beside the character of the shrinkage in resin sealer, the cause of the apical microleakage in both groups of samples are possibly caused by the
presence of micro air bubbles of gas in the apex. This is due to the NaOCl reaction to organic material in the root canal, causing irrigation activity of EDTA which does not effectively remove inorganic material from the smear layer. Irrigation techniques using a machine with agitation system will be able to overcome the effects of NaOCl, but in this study the irrigation technique used is the manual system.20

Although apical microleakage occurred in two groups of the study, the results showed the smallest mean apical microleakage of EndoRez group among the other groups, with an average of 0.14 mm leakage. While AH Plus group has a mean of 0.31 mm leakage. The control group was the highest of 2.0 mm.

Methacrylate resin group has the smallest apical microleakage value. This may be caused by some physical characters of this sealer, including natural hydrophilic character of phosphate esters contained in UDMA. This composition can get into the damp dentin walls. The other physical characteristics are film thickness and a small filler particle size that is able to penetrate the dentinal tubules further than epoxy resin sealer. Resin tags formed by natural hydrophilic material are capable of forming a tissue that is 800–1200 μm in long.3,12

EndoREZ, the dual core resin sealer that is influenced by the flow of resin polymerizes slowly. These characteristic can reduce the occurrence of shrinkage stress. The shrinkage stress reduction by resin flow depends on the film thickness. The thinner the sealer film, the smaller the shrinkage stress that occurs because of polymerization.10,21

The results in table 2 is parallel that evaluates the apical sealing ability of AH Plus resin sealer and EndoRez with lateral condensation obturation techniques assessed by SEM, who declares that the resin tags on EndoRez is longer than AH Plus. He said that the hydrophilic character and small particle size of the filler EndoREZ resin capable of forming a hybridization tag, while the AH Plus sealer containing silicone oil which may prevent the root canal wall from complete wetting.12

In this study, continuous wave obturation techniques were performed in charging technique because it was capable to produce more mass homogeneity of gutta-percha, but obturation techniques expressed by Cavenago and Duarte does not affect the apical microleakage. They reported that the interfacial adaptation between resin sealer against dentin root canal using single cone obturation and system B techniques. The results indicate that the gap formed between the two obturation techniques is not significantly different on the utilization of resin sealer.22

The differences of apical microleakage in A Plus and EndoREZ groups on different post-test by Mann–Whitney, did not show significant values (p>0.05). It can be revealed from table 3, that the difference of value between apical microleakage is quite small about 0.17 mm between the two groups. It showed that the sealer ability to minimize the occurrence of apical microleakage which was not significantly different.

The evaluated apical microleakage off our different types of sealer. Their conclusions stated that the insignificant results of these difference studies are caused by the physical properties of each sealer. Methacrylate resin is a hydrophilic resin that well adapts in a moist environment of root canal and capable of forming a long resin tags. While the epoxy resin that is dimensionally stable, has a good apical sealing ability.22,24

Changes in sealer dimensions based on ISO standard, is named shrinkage ≤1.0% and ≤0.1% expansion. The dimensional changes in both groups of sealer in this study were under a set value based on ISO standards. However, the epoxy resin has a value change in dimensions which is smaller than the methacrylate type of resin sealer.23,24

Conclusion
Concluded that the apical microleakage of sealer made from an epoxy resin and methacrylate resin with continuous wave obturation technique is not statistically different. Based on the limitations of this study, it is suggested that further studies are conduct with different methods and longer evaluation time.

Conflict of Interest
The authors report no conflict of interest.

References