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Abstract

Objective: This study aimed to compare calcium loss on root canal dentin before and after EDTA application with different varians and concentration with or without surfactant.

Material and Methods: Samples are mandibular premolar teeth single root canal, which is divided into 4 groups: Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (EDTA) gel, cream, solution and negative control. They are decoronated in Cemento-Enamel Junction (CEJ), then prepared with Crown Down Pressureless (CDP) technique and separated buccolingual direction. One side was applied in EDTA according their respective groups and the other side was set as sample before application. Samples before and after application of each group are destructed then subsequently measured for their Ca\(^{2+}\)’s amount using Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS). Data were collected and analyzed using ANOVA and Tukey Post Hoc test.

Results: This showed significant differences between Ca\(^{2+}\) amount in root canal dentin before and after application either on EDTA gel, cream, or solution as well as on negative control group (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Application of EDTA solution causes the highest calcium loss compared to EDTA gel and cream.
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Introduction

Success of root canal treatment depends on microorganism elimination in root canal and prevention of recurrent infections. During the root canal instrumentation, chemicals are used as irrigant and lubricant to facilitate the movement of instrument inside root canal, so it reduce mechanical stress of root canal instrument and prevent fracture instrument in root canal. EDTA is the most widely used lubricant in root canal treatment. EDTA help remove smear layer from root canal system mainly dominated by inorganic components. EDTA’s capability in removing inorganic components influences chemical structure of root canal dentin. EDTA may cause a change in ratio of Ca\(^{2+}\):PO\(_4\)\(^{3-}\) in root canal dentin, which will then lead to microhardness decrease of root canal dentin. It may also affect strength of root canal sealer.

Material and Methods

Samples that met the inclusion criteria was taken and decoronated on CEJ. Root canal preparation used ProTaper hand use (S1, Sx, S2, F1, F2-F5) and was irrigated with NaOCl 2.5% every turn of file. Samples was divided into 4 groups with 6 teeth for each. First group applied EDTA gel, second group EDTA cream, third group EDTA liquid, and fourth group applied no-EDTA (negative control).

Samples was put into 110°C oven for 1 hour and then stored in desiccator for 15 minutes. They were inserted into 450°C furnace machine afterwards for 8 hours until it ashed. Then it was proceeded by wet destruction. It was dissolved with heated 10 ml of HCl 10M solution and then with HNO\(_3\) 0.1M solution to each sample until solution mixture reached 100 ml figure 2. Sample that had turned into solution was filtered to further measure calcium amount by using AAS machine figure 3.

Results

Based on Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test table 1, all groups show value of p=0.028 (p<0.05). It means that there are significant differences between Ca\(^{2+}\) amount in root canal dentin before and after EDTA application either on EDTA gel, cream, or solution as well as on negative control group.

Based on ANOVA test, it results value of p=0.000
pre 33.60 CFU/ml, post 18.60 CFU/ml with 15.00 CFU/ml (p = 0.001) difference.

Figure 1  Samples are ready to be applied EDTA.

Figure 2  Samples are dissolved with heated 10 ml of HCl 10M, then mixed with HNO₃ 0.1M until it reached 100 ml.
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Table 1  Difference in Ca\(^{2+}\) amount in root canal dentin before and after EDTA application based on respective EDTA variants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EDTA</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Total Ca(^{2+}) (mg/g)</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>216.736</td>
<td>209.474</td>
<td>.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(208.695 - 231.280)</td>
<td>(200.762 - 224.907)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cream</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>240.333</td>
<td>225.986</td>
<td>.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(207.017 - 299.686)</td>
<td>(190.064 - 286.899)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>250.672</td>
<td>218.445</td>
<td>.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(210.104 - 288.920)</td>
<td>(180.711 - 257.106)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>228.847</td>
<td>228.085</td>
<td>.028*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(205.441 - 290.275)</td>
<td>(205.395 - 289.881)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3  AAS machine is reading the sample

Figure 4  Calcium loss differences in each variant of EDTA. (private collection)

(p<0.05), where the highest calcium loss seen in group EDTA solution with mean value of 32.228 mg/g (figure 4). EDTA solution which was used in this study contained EDTA 17% added with surfactant benzalkonium bromide. Whereas both EDTA gel and cream contained EDTA 15% added with surfactant urea peroxide.

**Discussion**

In root canal dentin, EDTA reacts with Ca\(^{2+}\) in apatite crystals and causes dentin microstructure change through change in ratio of Ca\(^{2+}\): PO\(_4\)\(^{3-}\). EDTA’s capability in causing decrease Ca\(^{2+}\) amount was consistent EDTA 15% was able to reduce more Ca\(^{2+}\) amount than 10% citric acid.\(^6\)

EDTA’s capability to dissolve Ca\(^{2+}\) in root canal dentin showed in the number of smear layer removed at the time of instrumentation. This was in line with Kamakshi et al.\(^7\) concluded EDTA 17% was highly effective to remove smear layer.\(^7\) In accordance with the study, Qian et al.\(^8\) in root canal dentin smear layer concludes EDTA and citric acid had the ability to remove smear layer by the erosion effect on peritubular and intertubular root canal dentin.\(^8\) The erosion would then affect microhardness decrease of root canal dentin due to decomposition of root canal dentin components.\(^5,9\) EDTA in cream or pasta variant had a low flow-rate.\(^10,11\) This assuredly, would make it difficult for material to flow in narrow apical portion and to penetrate far into root canal dentin. As a result, chelation action by EDTA cream would be limited. Nevertheless, addition of surfactant urea peroxide in EDTA cream would help enhance effectiveness compared to EDTA gel although flow rate is slightly higher yet contains no surfactant.\(^11,12\)

Meanwhile, EDTA solution had the highest flow capacity so it could flow to apical end and penetrate deeper into dentin.\(^10,12\) This was in accordance with result of our study that calcium loss in EDTA solution was the highest.

EDTA 17% had ability to dissolve more Ca\(^{2+}\) than EDTA 15%, which was added with cationic surfactant 0.1%.\(^13\) In line with those, Handa et al.\(^14\) stated EDTA 17% is more effective in dissolving dentin-even approaching 70% mass of dentin.\(^14\) A research on smear layer and dentin erosion capacity caused by EDTA with various concentrations (15%, 10%, 5% and 1%). It is known that EDTA concentration affects the magnitude of value decrease Ca\(^{2+}\) amount in root canal dentin. On the other words, concentration of EDTA was
directly proportional to value of calcium loss.\textsuperscript{15}

**Conclusion**

Based on our study, it can be concluded Ca\textsuperscript{2+} amount in root canal dentin before application decreases compared after application of EDTA gel, cream and solution; and application of EDTA solution causes the highest loss of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} compared to EDTA gel and cream. In clinical application, it is suggested EDTA selection is not based on simplicity of application but on variant that gives the lowest impact of calcium loss.
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