



Comparison of wound healing time post odontectomy surgery using silk and catgut sutures In Pirngadi Hospital

Rahmi Syaflida*, Hendry Rusdy, Ahyar Riza, Marshall Sitorus

Abstract

Objective: To compare post-odontectomy wound healing time using silk and catgut sutures at Pirngadi Hospital from May to June 2018.

Material and Methods: This is an non-laboratory experimental study with a "post-test only" design. Wound healing scores was assessed on the first and seventh days post odontectomy by seeing signs of inflammation. The number of patients studied was 30 people which 15 people used silk and 15 people used catgut.

Results: The results were statistically analyzed using Mann-Whitney Test. There was no significant relationship ($p > 0.05$) between the wound healing time to the suture type on the first day post odontectomy. On the seventh day, it was found that there was a significant relationship ($p < 0.05$) which silk had a score of 1.40 ± 0.507 while catgut had a score of 1.07 ± 0.258 .

Conclusion: Catgut has a better wound healing time than silk.

Keywords: Catgut, Odontectomy, Silk, Wound Healing

Cite This Article: Syaflida R, Rusdy H, Riza A, Sitorus M. 2019. Comparison of wound healing time post odontectomy surgery using silk and catgut sutures In Pirngadi Hospital. *Journal of Dentomaxillofacial Science* 4(2): 96-99. DOI: [10.15562/jdmfs.v4i2.797](https://doi.org/10.15562/jdmfs.v4i2.797)

Departement of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia

Introduction

An Impacted tooth is a tooth that are not perfectly erupted or erupted partially with positions that are not well supported by other tooth, bones, and soft tissues. This situation can occur due to insufficient eruption space, obstruction of neighboring tooth, and abnormal eruption path. A fully or partially impacted tooth must be removed when signs of pathological changes are found.¹⁻³

Odontectomy is a procedure to take out a non-erupted tooth or partially erupted tooth or remains of root which cannot be done with ordinary technique, hence requiring a surgical procedure.³ Surgical wound healing may be delayed in the absence of the typical clinical features of infection.⁴ Banche's research in comparison of silk, nylon, polyester, and polyglycaprone 25 in dentoalveolar surgery showed that there were more bacteria in non-absorbable sutures than absorbable sutures.⁵ Selvig et al.⁶ research on silk and chromic gut showed that bacterial invasion of the suture track was a common outcome regardless of the material used, but it was particularly prominent for silk sutures.⁶ Selvi et al.⁷ research between four different widely used suture materials in the oral surgery practice, including silk, polypropylene, coated polyglactin 910 and polyglycaprone 25 showed that only polypropylene give slightly less tissue reaction among the other materials.⁷ Despite of these studies, the best sutures material for wound healing has not been concluded by the researchers.

The present study aimed to compare post-odontectomy wound healing time using silk and catgut at Pirngadi General Hospital from May to June 2018.

Material and Methods

Figure 1 and figure 2 this study was an experimental study with post-test only design. Wound healing score as independent variable was obtained by conducting examination on day 1 and day 7 after the extraction of the tooth. Subject included in this study were patient with third molar impaction from 20 to 45 years old at Pirngadi General Hospital from May to June 2018. The number of patients studied was 30 people in which 15 people used silk and 15 people used catgut. Every patient was given an informed consent about the research procedures and asked about the willingness to participate in this study. Study was conducted after obtaining Ethical Clearance No: 185/TGL/KEPK FK USU-RSUP HAM/2018 from Health Research Ethical Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Sumatera Utara.

Wound healing scores was assessed on the first and seventh days post odontectomy by seeing signs of inflammation. Each sign of inflammation obtained will be given a score of 1 so that the accumulation of scores becomes the researcher's assessment of the sutures under study. Scoring was done by calculating all sign of inflammation with higher scores indicating poorer wound healing. Healing status was graded by good (0-1), moderate (2), and poor (>3).

*Correspondence to: Rahmi Syaflida, Faculty of Dentistry, Universitas Sumatera Utara, Medan, Indonesia
rahmi.syaflida@gmail.com

Received: 5 August 2017
Revised: 26 February 2019
Accepted: 28 February 2019
Available Online 1 August 2019



Figure 1 A. Inflammation appearance on 1st day post odontectomy showing mild inflammation around silk sutures, B. Inflammation appearance on 1st day post odontectomy showing mild-moderate inflammation around catgut sutures



Figure 2 A. Inflammation appearance on 7th day post odontectomy showing no inflammation and mild inflammation around silk sutures, B. Inflammation appearance on 7th day post odontectomy showing mild-moderate inflammation around catgut sutures.

Table 2 Result on day 1

Suture type	Wound Healing Score Day 1						Total	
	Good		Moderate		Poor			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Silk	0	0	5	33.33	10	66.67	15	100
Catgut	0	0	1	6.67	14	93.33	15	100

Table 2 Result on day 7

Suture type	Wound Healing Score Day 1						Total	
	Good		Moderate		Poor			
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Silk	9	60	6	40	0	0	15	100
Catgut	14	93.33	1	6.67	0	0	15	100

All results are checked to ensure the assessment matches the score and criteria used. The end result of each inspection is calculated manually and the data is processed computerized. In the Saphiro-Wilk test, it was found that the data in this study were not normally distributed. Thus, the data analysis test used is the Mann-Whitney test.

Results

Results on day 1 examination were 33.33% samples using silk had a moderate score and 66.67% samples had a poor score. Then 6.67% samples using catgut had a moderate score and 93.33% samples had a poor score. There were no samples using silk or catgut which had a good score on the first day after odontectomy. [Table 1.](#)

Result on day 7 examination were 60% samples using silk had a good score and 40% samples had a poor score. Then 93.33% samples using catgut had a good score and 6.67% samples had a moderate score. There were no samples using silk or catgut which had a poor score on the seventh day after odontectomy. [Table 2.](#)

Based on day 1 results, it was found that the mean score of wound healing time in the samples using silk was 2.67 ± 0.488 and the mean score of wound healing time on the samples using catgut was 2.93 ± 0.258 with a p-value of 0.073. Thus it can be concluded that there is no significant relationship between wound healing time and suture type on the first day after odontectomy. [Table 3.](#)

Based on day 7 results, the mean score of wound healing time on the sample using silk was 1.40 ± 0.507 and the mean score of wound healing time in the sample using catgut was 1.07 ± 0.258 with a p-value of 0.015. Thus it can be concluded that there is a significant relationship between the time of wound healing and suture type on the seventh day post odontectomy. [Table 4.](#)

Discussion

According to Chellamani et al.⁸, monofilament sutures will give minimal tissue reaction because of monofilament’s smooth surface. Also the monofilament suture does not allow any bacteria to survive as compared to multifilament sutures. The main drawbacks of the monofilament sutures are low knot security and less flexibility.⁸ Multifilament sutures may promote bacterial adherence to other sterile areas by capillary action, thereby enhancing the infection process. However, many clinicians prefer the multifilaments because the monofilaments are more difficult to manipulate and have sharp ends that irritate the oral tissues.⁷

Table 3 Mean score on day 1

Suture type	Mean±SD	n	%	p-value
Silk	2.67±0.488	15	100	0.073
Catgut	2.93±0.258	15	100	0.073

Table 4 Mean score on day 7

Suture type	Mean±SD	n	%	p-value
Silk	1.40±0.507	15	100	0.073
Catgut	1.07±0.258	15	100	0.073

Selvig et al.⁶ study of mucosal inflammatory responses to silk, catgut, e-PTFE, and poliglactin 910 showed that the inflammatory response encountered on the third day after injury was more due to suturing trauma. Assessed the differences in the four sutures by comparing the fluid response of exudates, the cellular intrusion zone, and the presence of inflammatory cells in the vicinity of the wound histologically. On the third day, the inflammatory response encountered in all four sutures did not show significant results.⁶

These result⁶ were found to be consistent with the literature suggesting that inflammation on the first day after surgery is not due to suture material but is dominated by surgical trauma, resulting in insignificant results. In the present study, there was no significant difference ($p > 0.05$) between the mean score of wound healing in samples of silk and catgut.

Another factor that might trigger tissue reactions is the response of bacteria to the surgical thread. Katz et al.⁹ investigated bacterial adhesion to surgical sutures (bacteria adherence). The results showed that bacteria in silk as multifilament suture had five to eight times more bacteria than nylon as monofilament suture with the least number of bacteria.⁹ In another study, Banche et al.⁵ Studied microbial colonization in various intraoral sutures from patients after dentoalveolar surgery. The results showed a greater number of bacteria in silk sutures compared to polyglecaprone 25.⁵

The presence of sutures in tissues can increase the risk of infection, especially in multifilament. When the sutures penetrates the mucosa, the oral fluid that acts as a bacterial medium will enter the gap between the filaments causing the spread of bacteria to the surrounding tissue. The spread of these bacteria can trigger a wider inflammatory reaction, especially around the tissues with multifilament sutures.⁶⁻⁸ Leknes et al.¹⁰ study also stated that multifilament sutures provide an inflammatory response that

sutures.⁶⁻⁸ Leknes et al.¹⁰ study also stated that multifilament sutures provide an inflammatory response that is worse than monofilament sutures.⁹ The research that has been done where the silk sutures was significantly ($p < 0.05$) had an average score of wound healing time that was worse than catgut on the seventh day post odontectomy.¹⁰

Conclusion

Based on the results and data analysis, it can be concluded that catgut sutures have better wound healing time on the seventh day after odontectomy. The catgut sutures have a mean score of wound healing time that is better than silk sutures. However, catgut sutures have rigid nature so it tends to give trauma to the oral mucosa tissue early after surgery and is more difficult to make knot than silk thread so that the time needed to perform suturing procedures tends to be long and the risk of trauma and irritation in the patient's oral cavity is quite large. Silk sutures has better biocompatibility than catgut so that patients feel more comfortable when suturing procedures with silk suture.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the patients who have been willing to share his case for reported and participated cooperatively in this study.

Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rentont T. Surgical management of third molars. In: Andersson L, Kahnberg KE, Pogrel MA. eds. Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010. p. 219-258.
2. Juodzbaly G, Daugela P. Mandibular third molar impaction: review of literature and a proposal of a classification. *J Oral Maxillofac Res* 2013;4: 1-12.
3. Saleh E. Strategies in reducing odontectomy complication. *J Mutiara Med* 2006;6: 122-125.
4. Edwards R, Harding K. Bacteria and wound healing. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2004;17: 91-96.
5. Banche G, Roana J, Mandras N, et al. Microbial adherence on various intraoral suture materials in patients undergoing dental surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65: 1503-1507.
6. Selvig KA, Biagiotti GR, Leknes KN, et al. Oral tissue reactions to suture materials. *Int J Periodont Res Dent* 1998;18: 475-487.
7. Selvi F, Cakarar S, Can T et al. Effects of different suture materials on tissue healing. *J Istanbul Univ Fac Dent* 2016; 50(1): 35-42.
8. Chellamani KP, Veerasubramanian D, Balaji RSV. Surgical sutures: an overview. *J Acad Indus Res* 2013; 1(12): 778-82.
9. Katz S, Izhar M, Mirelman D. Bacterial adherence to surgical sutures: a possible factor in suture induced infection. *Ann Surgery* 1981;194: 35-41.
10. Leknes KN, Røynstrand IT, Selvig KA. Human gingival

Conflict of Interest

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rentont T. Surgical management of third molars. In: Andersson L, Kahnberg KE, Pogrel MA. eds. Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Ltd; 2010. p. 219-258.
2. Juodzbaly G, Daugela P. Mandibular third molar impaction: review of literature and a proposal of a classification. *J Oral Maxillofac Res* 2013;4: 1-12.
3. Saleh E. Strategies in reducing odontectomy complication. *J Mutiara Med* 2006;6: 122-125.
4. Edwards R, Harding K. Bacteria and wound healing. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2004;17: 91-96.
5. Banche G, Roana J, Mandras N, et al. Microbial adherence on various intraoral suture materials in patients undergoing dental surgery. *J Oral Maxillofac Surg* 2007;65: 1503-1507.
6. Selvig KA, Biagiotti GR, Leknes KN, et al. Oral tissue reactions to suture materials. *Int J Periodont Res Dent* 1998;18: 475-487.
7. Selvi F, Cakarar S, Can T et al. Effects of different suture materials on tissue healing. *J Istanb Univ Fac Dent* 2016; 50(1): 35-42.
8. Chellamani KP, Veerasubramanian D, Balaji RSV. Surgical sutures: an overview. *J Acad Indus Res* 2013; 1(12): 778-82.
9. Katz S, Izhar M, Mirelman D. Bacterial adherence to surgical sutures: a possible factor in suture induced infection. *Ann Surgery* 1981;194: 35-41.
10. Leknes KN, Røynstrand IT, Selvig KA. Human gingival tissue reactions to silk and expanded polytetrafluoroethylene sutures. *J Periodontol* 2005;76: 34-42.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution